



---

## 2009 Decisions

## Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit

---

2-12-2009

# USA v. Duronio

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2009](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2009)

---

### Recommended Citation

"USA v. Duronio" (2009). *2009 Decisions*. 1875.

[https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2009/1875](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2009/1875)

This decision is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2009 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT**

**No. 06-5116**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

**v.**

**ROGER DURONIO, Appellant  
(D.N.J. No. 02-cr-00933)**

**Before: MCKEE, SMITH and ROTH, Circuit Judges.**

**ORDER AMENDING OPINION**

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED**, that the Slip Opinion filed in this case on February 9, 2009, be amended as follows:

On page 4, the sentence beginning “This was a direct response to Duronio’s own suggestion . . .” should be changed to read as follows: “This was a direct response to Duronio’s own suggestion that the Government should have called the co-worker as a prosecution witness.”

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**By the Court,**

**/s/Theodore A. McKee  
Circuit Judge**

**Dated:** 12 February 2009

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT**

**No. 06-5116**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

**v.**

**ROGER DURONIO, Appellant  
(D.N.J. No. 02-cr-00933)**

**Before: MCKEE, SMITH and ROTH, Circuit Judges.**

**ORDER AMENDING OPINION**

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED**, that the Slip Opinion filed in this case on February 9, 2009, be amended as follows:

On page 4, the sentence beginning “This was a direct response to Duronio’s own suggestion . . .” should be changed to read as follows: “This was a direct response to Duronio’s own suggestion that the Government should have called the co-worker as a prosecution witness.”

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**By the Court,**

**/s/Theodore A. McKee  
Circuit Judge**

**Dated:** 12 February 2009